Quantum computing with topological wormholes

Anirudh Krishna & David Poulin



UNIVERSITÉ DE SHERBROOKE

Motivation

- Locality central to quantum error correction.
- Exhibit topological order; simple syndrome extraction.
- Restrictions on storing and processing quantum information.
- Is locality warranted?
 - Deterministic ways to share entanglement have recently been discovered.
 - Some architecture have flying qubits.
 - Some architectures use extended objects such as resonators.

Kitaev, Ann. Phys. 2003 Bravyi, Kitaev, arXiv:quant-ph/9811052 Bombin, Martin-Delgado, PRL 2006 Bravyi, Poulin, Terhal, PRL 2010 Bravyi, Koenig, PRL 2013 Pastawski, Yoshida, PRA 2015 Axline et al., Nat. Phys. 2018 Kurpiers et al. Nature 2018 Campagne-Ibarcq, PRL 2018

Motivation

- LDPC codes completely ignore geometry & locality.
 - Achieve constant rate and threshold.
- What is the space in between LDPC and topological?
 - How much non-locality to break no-go theorems?
 - Are the coding-theory gains sufficient to motivate the development of experimental platforms with non-local gates?

Tillich, Zémor, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory 2014 Leverrier, Tillich, Zémor, FOCS 2015Fawzi et al., STOC 2018 Fawzi et al., FOCS 2018 Grospellier, AK, arXiv:1810.03681v1

Summary

• Introduce new defect called a wormhole using a small amount of non-locality.



- Entangle two spatially separated sectors of lattice.
- Can encode a logical qubit.
- All Clifford gates with one encoding

• The toric code is defined on a square lattice with periodic conditions.



• Can be expressed as the sum of these terms

$$\mathcal{H} = -\sum_{+} -\sum_{-} -\sum_{-} \square$$

- Defects come in two varieties!
- They can condense on different type of boundaries.





• Punctures come in two varieties!



• Can be used to encode a logical qubit.

- Perform logical CNOT via braiding!
- Example of general transformations called code deformation.

 $\mathcal{C}_1 \to \mathcal{C}_2 \to \ldots \mathcal{C}_N = \mathcal{C}_1$

- In each step, permitted operations:
 - Measuring stabilizer/gauge operators.
 - Performing transversal gates.
- Each step modifies the code on a patch smaller than minimal distance ⇒ Fault tolerant

Raussendorf, Harrington PRL 2007 Fowler et al., PRA 2012 Vuillot et al., arXiv:1810.10037

- Perform logical CNOT via braiding!
 - ZI → ZZ
 - $|X \rightarrow XX$
- Move punctures by measurement alone.
- Smooth punctures are control & rough punctures are target
- Difficult to perform single-qubit Cliffords.
- CSS code throughout ⇒ CSS-preserving gates only



• Twists: two-body measurements.



- Break the CSS nature of code.
- Yields hybrid operators of weight 6.

Bombin, PRL 2010 Bombin, NJP 2011 Zheng et al., PRB 2015 Brown et al., PRX 2017

• Measure two-body operators along a 'defect line'



- Can transform anyon type.
- Can encode qubits using two pairs of twists.
- Permits single-qubit Clifford gates.

Wormholes

• Separate entangling measurements.



- Yields hybrid nonlocal operators of weight 8.
- (With local Clifford, non-local operators can be of weight 4.)

Wormholes

• Measure two-body operators that are spatially separated.



• Can extend to entangling the boundary of two regions.



Wormholes

• Can encode a single logical qubit in a wormhole.



• The mouths are topologically indistinguishable.



Clifford gates

Example : Phase gate

Clifford gates

Hadamard gate

Discussion

- Can use two-body measurements to create non-local defect.
- To use as a code, you need higher-weight non-local measurements.
- Could imagine 2D lattice where non-local connection is defined by fiat.
- On the other hand, entanglement lets us decide where to insert nonlocal connections.
- Interesting, but does not permit new gates. Still requires state injection + magic state distillation!

Bravyi, Kitaev PRA 2005 Knill, Nature 2005 Bravyi, Haah PRA 2012

Hastings, Haah, PRL 2018 AK, J.-P. Tillich, arXiv: 1811.08461

Discussion

- Codes play an increasingly important role in our understanding of gravity.
- These wormholes behave much like gravitational wormholes.
- Example of ER=EPR?
 - Geometry experienced by excitations is induced by entanglement in underlying qubit substrate.
 - Entropy of wormhole mouth proportional to its boundary.

Susskind, **Rep. Prog. Phys.** 2016 Pastawski et al., JHP 2015

LDPC codes

- Preserve some properties of toric code but constant rate?
- Code family where
 - qubits participate in O(1) stabilizers; and
 - stabilizers act on O(1) qubits.
- Overhead: ratio of physical qubits to logical qubits.
- Gottesman showed that if certain kinds of LDPC codes exist, then we can achieve constant overhead.
- Codes satisfying these criteria have not been found.
- Hypergraph product codes are good candidate class.

Gottesman, Quantum Inf. Comput. 2014

Hypergraph product codes

- Let H be the parity check matrix of a classical code ${\mathscr C}$
- Hypergraph product code ${\cal Q}$

 $H_X = (1 \otimes H | H^T \otimes 1) \qquad H_Z = (H \otimes 1 | 1 \otimes H^T)$

• If ${\mathscr C}$ is LDPC, then so is ${\widehat {\mathcal Q}}$

 $\mathcal{C} = [n, k, d] \implies \mathcal{Q} = \llbracket O(n^2), O(k^2), O(d) \rrbracket$

- Possess efficient decoding algorithm that runs in linear time.
- Threshold estimates provided for some classes.

Tillich, Zémor, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory 2014 Leverrier, Tillich, Zémor, FOCS 2015 Fawzi et al., STOC 2018 Fawzi et al., FOCS 2018 Grospellier, AK, arXiv:1810.03681v1

Hypergraph product codes

Example: 3 x 3 surface code

Hypergraph product codes

- We can perform Clifford gates by deforming ${\cal Q}$
- Punctures can be described as a hypergraph product of sub-codes.
- Can be done entirely algebraically; process described in terms of constituent graphs.
- Moving a puncture is similar; can use linear algebra to decide when a logical operator has been performed.
- Interesting objects: braiding on an abstract graph.

END