

SKA - The next steps...

An update on planning for the Square Kilometre Array:

- Jan 2002: 'Level 1 science drivers' (unique, highpriority science) for SKA identified by ISAC working groups
- July 2002: Release of seven engineering concept studies
- August 2002: Aim to identify critical issues related to science/engineering/budget trade-offs (input welcome). Where are more calculations/simulations needed?
- Aug/Sep 2002: ARC CoE proposal



The Square Kilometre Array (SKA) The next generation radio telescope

Main goals:

- Large collecting area for high sensitivity (1 km²), 100x sensitivity of current VLA.
- Array elements (stations) distributed over a wide area for high resolution (needed to avoid confusion at very faint flux levels).
- For good *uv* plane coverage (especially for HI observations), stations can't be too sparse.



Proposed Specifications for the SKA (SKA Technical Workshop, 1997)

Frequency range Imaging field of view Instantaneous beams Angular resolution Spectral channels Image dynamic range Brightness sensitivity 150 MHz – 20 GHz 1 degree at 1.4 GHz 100 0.1 arcsec at 1.4 GHz 10,000 10⁶ at 1.4 GHz 1K at 1.4 GHz



SKA timeline

• 2000 ISSC formed (Europe; US; Australia, Canada, China, India) 2001 EMT, ISAC formed 2002 Concept studies, 7 designs 2005-6 Agreement on technical implementation and site 2008 SKA scientific and technical proposal completed 2010 SKA construction begins

2015 SKA completed



SKA Science Goals

- *"The driving ambition for this new facility... is* no less than to chart a complete history of time" (Taylor & Braun 1999)
- Structure and kinematics of the universe before galaxy formation
- Formation and evolution of galaxies
- Understanding key astrophysical processes in star formation and planetary formation
- Tests of general relativity, etc.

HI and the Cosmic Web

- Spectra of QSOs show many deep Ly- α absorption lines due to low col. density hydrogen (10¹⁶ – 10¹⁷ cm⁻²)

Where from?

- diffuse galaxy halos ?
- undetected low SB galaxies ?
- dwarf galaxies ?
- the "cosmic web" ?

 Predicted by CDM simulations → filaments and sheets with "galaxies" in the over-dense regions

SKA will detect the web via HI in emission! All-sky survey $\rightarrow <10^{17}$ cm⁻² Deep field survey $\rightarrow <10^{16}$ cm⁻²

SKA sensitivities for HI

 $\Delta V = 300 \text{ km s}^{-1} \Theta = 1^{"}$ Sensitivity: (each polarization) 8 hour integration $\sigma = 1.2 \mu \text{Jy/beam} = 0.76 \text{ K}$

HI Mass Sensitivity: (5σ) $\sim 3 \times 10^{6} M_{\odot}$ @ 100 Mpc $\sim 1.2 \times 10^{9} M_{\odot}$ @ z = 1 (resolution ~ 10 kpc) $\sim 3 \times 10^{10} M_{\odot}$ @ z = 4 M101-like galaxies at z=4

SKA's 1º field-of-view

for surveys and transient events in 10⁶ galaxies !



Large area survey of galaxies in HI



Redshifts and HI content of distant galaxies will be obtained for many galaxies

HI mass-based census of universe in the simplest atomic species...



Studying normal galaxies at high z

Unlike O/NIR radio is not affected by dust obscuration



- In continuum, HI, OH and H₂0 masers
- SKA sensitivity → radio image of any object seen in other wavebands
- Natural resolution advantage cf. ALMA, NGST, HST

SKA can study the earliest galaxies in detail

Star formation rates in the Universe

- Starburst galaxies e.g. M82
 - Radio VLBI reveals expanding supernovae <u>through dust</u>
 - Infer star birth rate from death rate rather directly
 - SKA: Image "M82s" to ~100Mpc
 : Detect "M82s" at high z
 - Calibrate integrated radio continuum → SFR at high z

Madau curve underestimates SFR at z>1.5



M82 VLA+ MERLIN+VLBI



Basic design criteria:

Sensitivity alone is not enough: hence SKA

 Must be sensitive to a wide range of surface brightness
 many "stations" in the array and wide range of baselines

Must cover factor >10 frequency range

Must have wide field & ideally multiple beams

→ multi-user; surveying speed and interference mitigation



SKA Configurations





Determining (and agreeing on) the optimum SKA configuration is a significant challenge



For high resolution, array stations are distributed across a continent





SKA design concepts July 2002



US ATA



China KARST



Australia Luneburg Lenses





Canada Large reflector Australia cylindrical paraboloid



Dutch phased array

+India: GMRT-model dishes



Large N, Small D' Array (USA)



Advantages: Reaches high-freq. (34 GHz)



Phased arrays (Europe)





Phased array concept

Replace <u>mechanical</u> pointing, beam forming by <u>electronic</u> means







Array station of Luneberg lenses (Australia)





Luneburg Lens

- Spherical lens with variable permittivity
 - A collimated beam is focussed onto the other side of the sphere
- Beam can come from any direction



Large [Arecibo-like] Reflectors (China)





Aerostat-mounted receiver above Large Adaptive Reflector (Canada)





Cylindrical reflector (Australia)





ISAC Working Groups

1. Nearby galaxies (Chair: John Dickey, USA) 2. Transient phenomena (Joe Lazio, USA) 3. Early Universe, Lge-scale structure (Frank Briggs, Aust) 4. Galaxy formation (Thijs van de Hulst, NL) 5. AGN and black holes (Heino Falcke, Ger) 6. Life Cycle of stars (Sean Dougherty, Can) 7. Solar system and planetary science 8. Intergalactic medium (Luigina Ferretti, Italy) 9. Spacecraft tracking (Dayton Jones, USA) Current Australian ISAC members: Frank Briggs (ANU), Carole

Jackson (ANU), Geraint Lewis (AAO), Elaine Sadler (Sydney)



'Level 1 Science Drivers'

Jan 2002: Each ISAC working group identified the one or two most important science goals which are unique to SKA (level 1). Level 2 drivers are second priority or not unique to SKA.

e.g. WG4 (Galaxy formation) - "*Sensitive, wide-field HI 21cm and radio continuum surveys"* (CO surveys, currently level 2, may be added)

Next goal for the ISAC is to study the seven concept proposals, determine to what extent they meet the requirements of the Level 1 Science Drivers, and provide feedback to proposers and EMT.



Some topics for discussion in Groningen (Aug 2002)

Low and high frequency limits: Only US design goes above 9 GHz. Are frequencies above 5 GHz scientifically compelling?

Multibeaming: Are fast response times (~ 1 sec) likely to be needed? Is 10 sec, or 100 sec just as useful?

Sensitivity: Does the SKA need a 10⁶ m² equivalent collecting area at all frequencies, or only below 1.4 GHz?

Field of View: What kind of trade-offs between field of view and bandwidth are acceptable (e.g. for HI surveys)?

Input from everyone is welcome...