Two-Way Laser Link over Interplanetary Distance

David E. Smith,^{1*} Maria T. Zuber,^{1,2} Xiaoli Sun,¹ Gregory A. Neumann,^{1,2} John F. Cavanaugh,¹ Jan F. McGarry,¹ Thomas W. Zagwodzki¹

The detection and precise timing of lowenergy laser pulses transmitted over interplanetary distances will enable advances in fundamental physics and solar system dynamics (1), as well as high-bandwidth deepspace communications (2, 3). The MESSENGER (MErcury Surface, Space ENvironment, GEochemistry, and Ranging) spacecraft (4), launched 3 August 2004, is carrying the Mercury Laser Altimeter (MLA) (5) as part of its instrument suite on its 6.6-year voyage to Mercury. In an experiment performed before an Earth flyby, the MLA successfully ranged to Earth and received laser pulses from the NASA Goddard



Fig. 1. Pulse-received times at MLA and GGAO. The graph shows that ground laser pulses (black symbols) were received by MLA \sim 0.35 ms earlier than predicted. Similarly, the ground-receive time of MLA pulses was \sim 0.34 ms earlier on 27 May (red symbols) but \sim 0.14 ms later on 31 May (blue symbols).

Table 1. Solution parameters.

Geophysical and Astronomical Observatory (GGAO) (6).

The only other deep-space laser ranging demonstration occurred before MLA in 1992, when two ground-based lasers were pointed toward the Galileo spacecraft and the signals were detected at a distance of 6×10^6 km as streaks of light by the spacecraft's camera (7). In contrast, the MLA Earth-ranging experiment operated like an asynchronous transponder (8), in which space-based and Earth-based laser terminals independently fired timed pulses at each other, with the transmitted and received pulse times linked by means of a stable space-

craft clock. The times of the paired observations were used to solve for a common range and clock offset (6).

The MESSENGER spacecraft clock is an ovenized quartz oscillator (4) that measures mission elapsed time (MET) and is periodically synchronized to coordinated universal time (UTC) by the terrestrial reference system terrestrial dynamic time. Over the test period 26 to 31 May 2005, the spacecraft clock, to which the MLA is periodically calibrated, was stable to approximately one part per billion (ppb).

In three observing opportunities, the MLA laser was fired for 5-hour periods while the spacecraft scanned Earth at a rate of 16 μ rad s⁻¹ along lines spaced 32 μ rad apart, for a total scan area of 3.2 by 3.2 mrad. Event timers logged pulse transmission and arrival times at GGAO, referenced to UTC within 100 ns absolute time. A digital oscilloscope at a frequency of 1 GHz also recorded the received pulse shapes. Sixteen consecutive pulses were recorded at 19:47:24 UTC on 27 and 24 May; more were recorded at 19:42:02 UTC on 31 May.

Simultaneously, a laser at GGAO was beamed upward toward MLA. The uplink pulses, along with noise triggers from the sunlit Earth, were received within a 15-ms range window during each 125-ms shot interval. Inspection of the stored instrument data revealed 90 pulses over a 30-min time frame, 17 on multiple channels, whose timing matched the GGAO fire times.

The interpretation of these events as downlink and uplink ranges required a joint solution (6) for spacecraft clock and state parameters (Fig. 1). The solution yielded a clock offset and drift rate at the origin time and the range as a function of time at the spacecraft (Table 1) (9). Downlink observations were fit with a root mean square residual of 0.39 ns, whereas uplink observations suffered from marginal signal link and were fit with an rms residual of 2.9 ns. Formal standard deviations indicate that the range was determined with an accuracy of ±20 cm. Our range agrees with that derived from the reconstructed ephemeris from X-band Doppler tracking (7.2 GHz uplink; 8.4 GHz downlink) to within 52 m. This experiment has demonstrated subnanosecond laser pulse timing and accomplished a two-way laser link at interplanetary distance. In addition, it established a distance record for laser transmission and detection.

References and Notes

- 1. D. E. Smith, M. T. Zuber, J. J. Degnan, J. B. Abshire, paper presented at Marcel Grossman 8, Jerusalem, 1997.
- J. Bland-Hawthorn, A. Harwit, M. Harwit, Science 523, 293 (2002).
- 3. B. L. Edwards et al., paper presented at AIAA (2003).
- 4. A. G. Santo et al., Planet. Space Sci. 49, 1481 (2001).
- 5. J. F. Cavanaugh *et al.*, in preparation.
- 6. Materials and methods are available as supporting material on *Science* Online.
- K. E. Wilson, J. R. Lesh, T.-Y. Yan, Proc. SPIE 1866, 138 (1993).
- 8.].]. Degnan, J. Geodynam. 34, 551 (2002).
- The range is not a true geometric time of flight because both terminals are accelerating, but the round-trip time is adequately constrained in this fashion.
- We gratefully acknowledge the efforts of the MESSENGER spacecraft team, the MLA instrument team, and the staff of NASA's GGAO. The MESSENGER Project is supported by NASA's Discovery Program.

Supporting Online Material

www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/311/5757/53/DC1 Materials and Methods Table S1 References and Notes

verenences and mote.

12 September 2005; accepted 9 November 2005 10.1126/science.1120091

Parameter	Laser link solution	Spacecraft ephemeris	Difference	
Range (m)	23,964,675,433.9 ± 0.2	23,964,675,381.3	52.6	¹ S
Range rate (m s $^{-1}$)	4,154.663 ± 0.144	4,154.601	0.062	Fli
Acceleration (mm s ⁻²)	-0.0102 ± 0.0004	-0.0087	-0.0015	Ins
Time (s)	71,163.729670967 \pm 6.6 \times 10 ⁻¹⁰	71,163.730019659	0.000348692	05
Clock drift rate (ppb)	1.0000001559 \pm 4.8 \times 10 $^{-10}$	1.0000001564	–3.2 $ imes$ 10 $^{-10}$	*To

¹Solar System Exploration Division, NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA. ²Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139–4307, USA.

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: dsmith@tharsis.gsfc.nasa.gov