Quantum Yang-Mills theory an overview of a programme

Cedric Beny, Ash Milsted, and Tobias J. Osborne







Leibniz Universität Hannover

Problem description

- Problem description
- A candidate wavefunction

- Problem description
- A candidate wavefunction
- Continuum limit

- Problem description
- A candidate wavefunction
- Continuum limit
- Renormalisation

Hilbert space

Like the toric code:



what lives on the edges?

Position basis



 $U \in SU(2)$

Particle on a sphere



(SU(2)) is diffeomorphic to the sphere S^3)

(cf. toric code: $G = Z_2$)

Hilbert space: edge

 $|\psi\rangle = |\psi(U)|U\rangle dU$

 $\mathcal{H}_e \cong L^2(SU(2))$

cf. toric code

$|\psi\rangle = c_0|0 angle + c_1|1 angle$

 $\mathcal{H}_e = L^2(\{0,1\}) = \mathbb{C}^2$

Momentum space

$L^{2}(SU(2)) \cong \bigoplus_{I \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^{+}} V_{I} \otimes V_{I}^{*}$

(Peter-Weyl theorem)

Momentum space

$L^{2}(SU(2)) \cong \bigoplus_{l \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^{+}} \mathbb{C}^{2l+1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2l+1}$

mixture of qudit pairs

Momentum space: basis

 $|j\rangle_I|k\rangle_I \cong \sqrt{2I+1}t'_{ik}$

 $I \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^+$ and j, k = -I, -I + 1, ..., I

$t_{jk}^{I} = (j, k)$ - matrix element of spin-/ representation of *SU*(2)

Examples

 $t_{jk}^0(U) = 1$

 $t_{jk}^{\frac{1}{2}}(U) = [U]_{jk}$

 $t_{11}^1(U) = ([U]_{\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}})^2$

 $\widehat{\psi}_{jk}^{I}|j\rangle_{I}|k\rangle_{I},$ $|\psi
angle$ j,k=-l

where

$$\widehat{\psi}_{jk}^{\prime} = \sqrt{2\ell+1} \int \overline{t_{jk}^{\prime}(U)} \psi(U) \, dU$$

$L^2(SU(2))$

is FAPP bipartite









J. Baez, Adv. Math. **117**, 253-272 (1996)

Position observables

$\widehat{u}_{jk}|U\rangle \equiv t_{jk}^{\frac{1}{2}}(U)|U\rangle$

(classical) Wilson loops



$\operatorname{tr}(\widehat{u}_{\gamma}) \equiv \sum_{j} \widehat{u}_{jj_{1}}(e_{1})\widehat{u}_{j_{1}j_{2}}(e_{2})\cdots \widehat{u}_{j_{n-1}j}(e_{n})$

where

$\gamma = (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n)$

Rotations

$L_V |U\rangle \equiv |VU\rangle$

and

 $\left| R_{V} \right| U
ight
angle \equiv \left| U V^{\dagger}
ight
angle$

Momentum observables

$$\widehat{\ell}_{L}^{\alpha} \equiv \frac{d}{d\epsilon} L_{e^{\epsilon \tau^{\alpha}}}$$

and



$$\tau^{0} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tau^{1} = i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tau^{2} = i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \tau^{3} = i \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Laplacian

$$- riangle = \sum_{lpha=1}^3 (\widehat{\ell}^lpha_L)^2 = \sum_{lpha=1}^3 (\widehat{\ell}^lpha_R)^2 = igoplus_l (d_l^2-1) \mathbb{I}_l$$

where

 $d_{I} = 2I + 1$

Dynamics

Kogut-Susskind hamiltonian



J. Kogut and L. Susskind, Phys. Rev. D 11, 395 (1975)

What is the ground state $|\Omega(g)\rangle$?
Ground state: strong coupling

$|\Omega(\infty)\rangle = \bigotimes_{e \in E} |00\rangle_0$

Ground state: weak coupling

$|\Omega(0)\rangle = {}^{\text{superposition of all}}_{\text{configurations s.t.}} \prod_{e \in \Box} U_e = \mathbb{I}, \forall \Box$

Ground state: weak coupling

$|\Omega(0)\rangle = {}^{\text{superposition of all}}_{\text{configurations s.t.}} \prod_{e \in \Box} U_e = \mathbb{I}, \forall \Box$

(In toric code the weak-coupling case is the ground state)

(Any configuration:



such that

$\prod_{e \in \Box} U_e = \mathbb{I}, \forall \Box$

is called **flat**.)

The problem

Find $|\Phi(g)\rangle$ Such that

- 1. The family $|\Phi(g)\rangle$ is a **contractible TNS**
- 2. The state $|\Phi(g)\rangle$ is manifestly **gauge invariant**
- 3. It **interpolates** from zero coupling to strong coupling, i.e., $|\Phi(0)\rangle = |\Omega(0)\rangle$ and $|\Phi(\infty)\rangle = |\Omega(\infty)\rangle$
- 4. The state $|\Phi(g)\rangle$ differs from $|\Omega(g)\rangle$ only by **irrelevant** features
- 5. The family $|\Phi(g)\rangle$ admits a **continuum limit**, and is **Lorentz invariant**

1-2. The local gauge group



One copy of SU(2) per vertex

The local gauge group acts via

 $\bigotimes L_{x_{e_-}} R_{x_{e_+}}, \quad x \in \mathcal{G}$ $e \in E$







Gauge-invariant sector $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{G}}$:

$\bigotimes_{e \in E} L_{x_{e_{-}}} R_{x_{e_{+}}} |\psi\rangle = |\psi\rangle, \quad x \in \mathcal{G}$

Gauge-invariant sector $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{G}}$:

$\bigotimes_{e \in E} L_{x_{e_{-}}} R_{x_{e_{+}}} |\psi\rangle = |\psi\rangle, \quad x \in \mathcal{G}$

(Toric code: star operators are exactly satisfied)

Single loop



Single loop: $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{G}}$

$$|\psi\rangle = \int \psi(U)|U\rangle \, dU$$

such that

 $\psi(x^{-1}Ux) = \psi(U)$

(classical) parallel transport

$U(\gamma) \equiv \prod_{e \in \gamma} U_e^{-\operatorname{sgn}_{\gamma} e}$



Controlled rotations $CU \equiv \int |U\rangle \langle U| \otimes \pi(U) \, dU$

where $\pi(U)$ is a representation, e.g.

 $\pi(U)\cong L_U$

or $\pi(U) \cong R_U$

Quantum parallel transport

$CU_{\gamma} \equiv \prod_{e \in \gamma} CU_{es}^{-\operatorname{sgn}_{\gamma}e}$

Edge addition and subdivision

J. Baez, Adv. Math. **117**, 253-272 (1996)

E. Dennis, A. Kitaev, A. Landahl, and J. Preskill, J. Math. Phys. **43**, 4452 (2002) M. Aguado and G. Vidal, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 070404 (2008)

O. Buerschaper, M. Aguado, and G. Vidal, Phys. Rev. B 79, 085119 (2009)

R. König, B. W. Reichardt, and G. Vidal, Phys. Rev. B 79, 195123 (2009)

Edge addition

1. Add in a gauge invariant loop at a vertex:



2. Parallel transport ends to destination vertices via

$$CL_\gamma$$
 and CR_γ











Edge subdivision

1. Add an edge in the trivial representation

$$|\omega_0
angle \equiv |00
angle_0$$

2. Parallel transport the end of old edge to new location via:

$$CL_e^{-1}$$







Gauge-invariant sector $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{G}}$:












3. Interpolation

Dimensional transmutation

Lattice spacing *a* plays **no role** in diagonalising H(g):

$$H(g) = -\frac{g^2}{2a} \sum_{e \in E} \triangle_e - \frac{2}{g^2 a} \sum_{\Box} \operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{tr}(\widehat{u}_{\Box}))$$

How to work out a?

Ground state has correlation length: $\xi(g)$

Require: $a\xi(g) = \text{const.}$

Continuum limit:

 $\xi(g) \to \infty$

Decreasing g equivalent to zooming in

























What is interpolation for nonabelian gauge fields?

Curvature interpolation



Curvature interpolation



Minimise:

$-2\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{tr}(U_{j}A_{j}^{\dagger}A_{j-1}))$

(classical) solution

$$A_j = heta(j,k)^{\dagger}\eta^{\dagger}U_0\cdots U_j, \quad k=0,1,\ldots,n-1$$

where
$$\eta^{\dagger} U_{n-1}^{\dagger} \cdots U_{0}^{\dagger} \eta = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\phi} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\phi} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\theta(j,k) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\frac{j}{n}(\phi-2\pi k)} & 0\\ 0 & e^{i\frac{j}{n}(\phi-2\pi k)} \end{pmatrix}$$

Quantum interpolation













This process is an isometry U

Ground-state ansatz

$|\Phi(m)\rangle \equiv \mathcal{U}_m \mathcal{U}_{m-1} \cdots \mathcal{U}_1 |\Omega(\infty)\rangle$

$|\Phi(m)\rangle \equiv \mathcal{U}_m \mathcal{U}_{m-1} \cdots \mathcal{U}_1 |\Omega(\infty)\rangle$
$|\Phi(m) angle\equiv\mathcal{U}_{m}\mathcal{U}_{m-1}\cdots\mathcal{U}_{1}|\Omega(\infty) angle$

$|\Phi(m) angle \equiv \mathcal{U}_m \mathcal{U}_{m-1} \cdots \mathcal{U}_1 |\Omega(\infty) angle$ $M \Rightarrow \xi(m) \Rightarrow a(m)$

$|\Phi(m)\rangle$ is a tree tensor network state (MERA)

E. Dennis, A. Kitaev, A. Landahl, and J. Preskill, J. Math. Phys. 43, 4452 (2002)
M. Aguado and G. Vidal, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 070404 (2008)
O. Buerschaper, M. Aguado, and G. Vidal, Phys. Rev. B 79, 085119 (2009)
R. König, B. W. Reichardt, and G. Vidal, Phys. Rev. B 79, 195123 (2009)

How to match g to m?

4. Renormalisation

(see C. Bény and T. J. Osborne, arXiv:1310.3188)

5. Continuum limit





Where are the quantum fields?

Fluctuation operators

$$\widehat{F}_{\mu\nu}(f) \equiv \lim_{a\to 0} Z(a) \sum_{j} f(aj) (\operatorname{tr}(\widehat{u}_{\Box_{\mu\nu}}) - \langle \operatorname{tr}(\widehat{u}_{\Box_{\mu\nu}}) \rangle)$$

$$\widehat{E}_{\mu}(f) \equiv \lim_{a \to 0} Z(a) \sum_{j} f(aj)(\widehat{\ell}_{\mu} - \langle \widehat{\ell}_{\mu} \rangle)$$

K. Hepp and E. H. Lieb, Helv. Phys. Acta 46, 573 (1973).A. F. Verbeure, Many-Body Boson Systems (Springer, London, 2011)

Lorentz invariance?

$$|E_k\rangle = \lim_{a \to 0} \sum_j \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{iajk} e^{i\frac{t}{a}H} \widetilde{F}^{(a)}_{\mu\nu}(j) |\Omega_a\rangle dt$$

J. Haegeman, S. Michalakis, B. Nachtergaele, T. J. Osborne, N. Schuch, F. Verstraete, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 080401 (2013)

Lorentz invariance: $\omega(k) = \sqrt{k^2 + m^2}$

Quantum-information inspired ground state ansatz for Yang-Mills