





13th US-Japan IEC workshop, Sydney 2011

# Outline:

- 1. What is MIX, why build it?
- 2. IEC Fusion: predictions
- 3. Some modeling results
- 4. MIX as constructed
- 5. Results & Conclusions





# IEC status quo

- IEC fusion for power generation: a path long ago abandoned
- Fusors suffer from fundamental (physics) limitations however:
- Practical implementation of the concept has been what has been limiting the performance of these devices



- 1. Single/simple grids: bad ion optics
- 2. Ion impact on grid wires limits power
- 3. High pressure prevents ion recirculation
- 4. Electron losses = large power drain
- 5. Severe space charge limitations
  → low power densities

# MIX: IEC with multipole magnetic electron confinement

- Ions accelerated into negatively charged polyhedral electromagnet, which replaces wire grid
- Optics: lons recirculate, build up intense trapped beams
- Electrons injected into confining B-field w/ biased emitters
- Electrons create non-neutral plasma in core
- Space charge profiles used to focus ions (Gabor lensing)
- Drive "Hirsch currents", virtual electrodes?





# Maximizing efficiency and power

### Goals of Experiment:

- 1. Demonstrating Q = 1
- 2. 1 kW fusion power level (DT)

Calculations predicted this might be achievable



### Energy balance determined by:

- 1. Fusion
- Magnetic cusp confinement (electron streaming loss)
- 3. Coulomb scattering (ion loss)
- 4. Ion thermalization rate(s)
- 5. Electron thermalization rate
- 6. Bremsstrahlung
- 7. Engineering efficiencies
- 8. Instabilities?



# Parameter space for break-even fusion

Fundamental Q limitations as a result of binary collision processes



# 1<sup>st</sup> step: Modeling

A modest modeling campaign was undertaken to

- a) test some basic assumptions
- b) guide the design of the cathodemagnet and other hardware. Tools included:
- LSP (3D PIC/fluid hybrid code)
- 32-core Linux Cluster
- Opera & SCALA (3D E/M & FEM & beam codes)
- CPO (charged particle optics)
- Analytical Methods, some new
- GA to find optimal geometries



OPERA output: steady state, high current (10 A) trapped ion beam in MIX geometry with 100 kV potential. No electrons, no B-field. Colors indicate ion velocity.



# **Analytical Modeling**

New method\* of conformal mapping to approximate ion trap potentials with analytic expressions, allows instant stability analysis

Conformal map resulting from MIX electrode geometry, generates analytical model of electric fields

On-axis potential profile: analytical and numerical solution diff. <0.1%

 Volts
 0.02
 0.04
 0.06
 0.08
 0.10
 0.12
 8.14

 -20 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -80 000
 -8

Ultra-simple models: very good tool for insight into physical systems that take very long time to analyze numerically

*Ion phase space plots: Toy model vs. PIC code results, essential features captured* 



-100 000



\* A. Valette, Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams 13, 114001 (2010)

# Ion dynamics

#### Ion Current through a plane - bunching



Beam density vs time, near and far from core



# **Electrons in MIX**

- Original plan: Octahedral geometry, electrons injected via point cusps
- $T_e$  set by emitter bias relative to magnet:  $T_e = \frac{2}{3}\Delta\phi$
- Electrons heated by ion beams are recollected at emitters :  $\Delta T_e <<1$



## Control of n<sub>e</sub> & T<sub>e</sub>

BUT:

- Simulations revealed injection of electrons along point cusps not possible (no field lines to core)
- Changed design to higher order multipole (TC) and inject along mirror axes.



Octo-MIX core cutaway: point cusps for e- injection

# **Electron dynamics**

Cross sections of potential (largely due to electron space charge) at increasing distances from the core along the ion beam axis:





#### Modeling electron injection into MIX field





# **Detailed design questions**



Ion beam energy deposition picture (erosion and heat risks)





#### B-mod surfaces (2 cross sections)



#### Slide 12

# Final magnet design and construction



constructed by Buckley Systems, (New Zealand)



MIX magnet design

MIX magnet

- Constructed from two solid hemispheres, each containing 4 o-ring sealed seats for conical coils
- 14 magnetic mirrors: 8 for ion beams, and 6 for diagnostics/electron gun
- 1 kGauss at max power at strongest point on axis of mirror field
- Takes up tp 6 kW (160 A, 38 V) Ohmic power
- High pressure deionized water cooling, insulating up to 150 kV
- Includes e-repellers, many holes and feedthroughs





# MIX designed and built in 12 months



mag current cooling HV electrical RGA HV insulator He puff Magnet retracts with all electrical connections Neutron counter Turbo Pump

Included construction of concrete radiation shield, DAQ system, diagnostics, ion sources,...



see MIX poster

## Results

## MIX performance far from goals







## **Electron Confinement**

- Electron injection into magnetic field: Ran small tantalum disk emitter and larger filament emitter, biased up to 1 kV negatively from magnet
- Ran both emission limited and space charge limited injection modes for full range of magnetic field
- No surprises: currents and losses as expected from LSP simulations (in absence of ion beams, neutral plasma)



# Electron data – repellers off

- Without repellers, about 40% of injected electrons stream through cusps to ground when B-field "on".
- Used 80 kV difference to chamber walls to get full effect of electrostatic leakage.
- Current to magnet reduced with higher B, looks like B<sup>2</sup> scaling for weak B, then linear (since negative potential well forms and also grows with B, driving losses)
- But streaming losses get worse with higher B (better confinement → higher density & greater potential well pushing electrons out)
- Total emission magnitude and currents measured consistent with LSP simulations involving emitters of comparable size



# Electron data – repellers on

Repellers totally effective in suppressing streaming losses with potential ~ 2x emitter potential



1 kV

# Ion beam results





## Ion beam results

Unfortunately, diagnostics indicate stored ion beam never larger than a few mA recirculating



Source located on right, view through core. Beam diverges and impacts magnet apertures



# Alignment of ion beam optical system suspected

Modeling misalignments:



- Small misalignments  $\rightarrow$  all the ions are lost after single reflection
- Very small misalignment → ions lost after a few reflections



# Alignment of ion beam optical system

- Built several alignment tools
- Found electrodes had been
   substantially imperfect
- Aligned electrodes as best as we could to well within requirements









→ Alignment critical, MIX design not the best in this regard

# Challenge: matching source to the trap

- Example: Duoplasmatron: "nice" beam only with properly matched extraction. Typically, strong extraction field required for converging, focused beam.
- IEC Problem: high density ion source plasma bulges out of source and causes majority of ions to be out of acceptable phase space. Trap cannot fill, or, as trap begins to fill, the extraction field is changed → mismatch



No useful trapping. Beam strike on one pass



Trapping. Beam collected on low-V skirt.





# The first problem with ion sources

Introduction of ions into a recirculating electrostatic trap *from the edge of trapping phase space* turned out to be vexingly difficult, both in simulations and in the lab

- Need scattering and violation of Liouville Theorem to get into trapped phase space
- 2. Expect only 10% of "perfect" ions to survive a succession of a few infinitesimally small scattering events (statistics: "random walk near a cliff" problem)





# The second problem with ion sources



# The third problem with ion sources

- Ion sources invariably involve apertures from which ions are sourced
- Returning ions scatter off the plasma plume (or concave depression) at the source



Simple model, no aperture: All ions reach 50 passes, focused beam



Simple model, aperture with rounded cup (best we could achieve): only 10 % of ions are trapped after 20 passes



# Fundamental problem with ion sources

Very thin ion turning region near a real, solid surface: **mission impossible** 

- ions passing and turning very near to solid reflecting surfaces extremely susceptible to tiny imperfections in the electrostatic surfaces
- Ions effectively scattering off irregularities. Because ion velocity tends to 0, the scattering cross-section blows up
- This scattering, in addition to very strong ion-ion scattering in the turning region, prevents stored beam magnitudes from approaching even a small fraction of the Child-Langmuir limit





LSP simulation showing tendency for ions to form virtual anode near turning surface and space charge blow up

# Fundamental problem with ion sources



• Importing ultra-precise field into PIC code

PIC code attempts to simulate ion dynamics with ion orbits passing very near solid surfaces always resulted in stored currents < 2 mA, no matter how precisely the surface was defined (improvement with finer and finer grid cell size, other tricks, but to no avail)



➔ there is a fundamental problem with ions turning at thin surface near solid electrode

# Ion Source integrated into beam

Ideal ion source delivers ions from negative birth potential inside trapped phase space –built our own ion source which ionizes in the trap





# Ion source invention led to MARBLE



Presentation about MARBLE to follow after questions

